ArXiV Preprint manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Spherocytosis and the Helfrich model

Yann Bernard · Glen Wheeler · Valentina-Mira Wheeler

arXiv:1412.3533v1 [math-ph] 11 Dec 2014

December 12, 2014

Abstract The Helfrich functional, denoted by $\mathscr{H}^{c_0}$, is a mathematical expression proposed by Helfrich (1973) for the natural free energy carried by an elastic phospholipid bilayer. Helfrich theorises that idealised elastic phospholipid bilayers minimise $\mathscr{H}^{c_0}$ among all possible configurations. The functional integrates a spontaneous curvature parameter c_0 together with the mean curvature of the bilayer and constraints on area and volume, either through an inclusion of osmotic pressure difference and tensile stress or otherwise. Using the mathematical concept of immersed surface to represent the configuration of the bilayer, one might expect to be able to adapt methods from differential geometry and the calculus of variations to perform a fine analysis of bilayer configurations in terms of the parameters that it depends upon. In this article we focus upon the case of spherical red blood cells with a view to better understanding spherocytes and spherocytosis. We provide a complete classification of spherical solutions in terms of the parameters in the Helfrich model. We additionally present some further analysis on the stability of spherocytes.

Keywords Biomembranes · Helfrich model · Differential geometry

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 74K15 · 51P05 · 00A71

Y. Bernard
Department Mathematik
ETH Zürich
Switzerland
Tel.: +41-44-632-3586
E-mail: yann.bernard@math.ethz.ch

G. Wheeler & V.-M. Wheeler
School of Mathematics and Applied Statistics
University of Wollongong
Australia
Tel.: +61-2-42214572
E-mail: {glenw,vwheeler}@uow.edu.au

1 Introduction

Helfrich (1973) proposed

$$f_c = \frac{k_c(H-c_0)^2}{2} + \overline{k}K$$

as the energy per unit area. The constants k_c and $\overline{k}$ are the bending moduli. It is argued in Mutz and Helfrich (1990); Duwe et al. (1990) that k_c is small and positive. We shall see shortly that the exact value of $\overline{k}$ is not important for our investigations here (in Seifert (1997) it is even set to zero). The spontaneous curvature c_0 on the other hand is a critical component of the model. It was found, based on experimental data of Evans and Fung (1972), to approximately satisfy $c_0 = -0.74\,\mu m^{-1}$ under the assumptions that the membrane is a typical human erythrocyte and normal physiological conditions are in place (see Deuling and Helfrich (1976a,b)). Supposing the membrane is represented by a smooth isometric immersion $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ of a two-dimensional closed differentiable manifold Σ, this gives rise to the energy functional

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathscr{H}}^{c_0}(f) &= \frac{k_c}{2}\int_\Sigma (H-c_0)^2 d\mu + 2\overline{k}\pi\chi(\Sigma) \\ &= \frac{k_c}{2}\int_\Sigma H^2 d\mu - k_c c_0 \int_\Sigma H\, d\mu + \frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}\text{Area } f + 2\overline{k}\pi\chi(\Sigma)\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi(\Sigma)$ is the Euler characteristic of Σ and we have used the Gauss-Bonnet theorem. The Euler characteristic $\chi(\Sigma)$ is a topological invariant, satisfying for example $\chi(\Sigma) = 2-2g$, where g is the genus of Σ. The genus counts the number of holes in the surface. In cases where the bilayer is topologically spherical, we have genus$(\Sigma) = 0$. This includes for example stomatocytes, discocytes, spherocytes, echinocytes, and so on. As such cells form our primary interest in this paper, we shall work from now on in the topological class of $g = 0$ and $\chi(\Sigma) = 2$. The notation Area f denotes the area of $(\Sigma, f^*\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ as a Riemannian manifold, where $f^*\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the pullback via the immersion f of the standard metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$, the dot product. That is,

$$\text{Area } f = \int_\Sigma d\mu = \int_\Sigma \sqrt{\det\left(\langle \partial_i f, \partial_j f\rangle\right)}\, dx\,.$$

We now seek to study immersions $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ that *minimise* the Helfrich functional. These represent, in a model sense, the biomembranes that we wish to investigate.

In the language of the calculus of variations, the problem is then as follows.

Problem (P1) Suppose Σ is a closed differentiable 2-manifold with genus zero. Let c_0, S_0 and V_0 be fixed positive constants. Minimise $\hat{\mathscr{H}}^{c_0}(f)$ in the class of smooth immersions $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ subject to the constraints

$$\text{Area } f = S_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Vol } f = V_0\,. \tag{P1.1}$$

That is, find an immersion $f_0 : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ such that Area $f = S_0$, Vol $f = V_0$, and

$$\hat{\mathscr{H}}^{c_0}(f_0) \le \hat{\mathscr{H}}^{c_0}(f) \tag{1}$$

for any other smooth immersion f of Σ.

Remark A candidate immersion f_0 which achieves the global energy minimum is called a *solution*. It is not unique. The constraints and the functional $\hat{\mathscr{H}}$ are invariant under reparametrisation as well as rigid motions in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

The variational problem (P1) is the classical formulation suggested in Helfrich (1973); Deuling and Helfrich (1976a,b). A solution $\hat{f}:\Sigma\to\mathbb{R}^3$ will satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$k_c(\Delta H + H|A^o|^2) + 2k_c c_0 K - \Big(\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2} + s_0\Big)H - v_0 = 0\,. \tag{2}$$

where $s_0, v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ are Lagrange multipliers. We emphasise that s_0 and v_0 in (2) are abstract mathematical constants; they have no physical meaning. Their role is to ensure that the restrictions (P1.1) are satisfied by $\hat{f}$. They do not represent any physical force in the original formulation.

It is possible to derive an expression similar to (2) for the shape of a biomembrane where constants **with** a physical meaning appear in a manner identical to s_0 and v_0. This can be achieved via the inclusion of the osmotic pressure difference p and tensile stress λ in the expression for the free energy of a closed bilayer. This slightly different approach has by now become quite common – see for example Black (2013); Tu (2006) and Voinova (2006). Indeed, Deuling and Helfrich (1976a) argued that the Lagrange multipliers s_0 and v_0 above essentially play these roles. This leads to the alternative functional

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{H}^{c_0}(f) &= \frac{k_c}{2}\int_\Sigma (H-c_0)^2 d\mu + \lambda\,\text{Area}\,\Sigma + p\text{Vol}\,\Sigma + 2\overline{k}\pi\chi(\Sigma)\\ &= \frac{k_c}{2}\int_\Sigma H^2 d\mu - k_c c_0\int_\Sigma H\,d\mu + \Big(\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}+\lambda\Big)\text{Area}\,\Sigma + p\text{Vol}\,\Sigma + 2\overline{k}\pi\chi(\Sigma)\,.\end{aligned}$$

It is the functional $\mathscr{H}^{c_0}$ above that we study in this paper. For clarity, we restate the minimisation problem associated to this functional below.

Problem (P2) Suppose Σ is a closed differentiable 2-manifold with genus zero. Let c_0, p and λ be fixed positive constants. Minimise $\mathscr{H}^{c_0}(f)$ in the class of smooth immersions $f:\Sigma\to\mathbb{R}^3$. That is, find an immersion $f_0:\Sigma\to\mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\mathscr{H}^{c_0}(f_0) \le \mathscr{H}^{c_0}(f) \tag{3}$$

for any other smooth immersion f of Σ.

A solution to (P2) will satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation (2) with tensile stress λ substituted for s_0 and the osmotic pressure difference p substituted for v_0:

$$k_c(\Delta H + H|A^o|^2) + 2k_c c_0 K - \Big(\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2} + \lambda\Big)H - p = 0\,. \tag{4}$$

Remark The Euler-Lagrange equations (2) and (4) are essentially the same. This means that the analysis of solutions to (P1) and (P2) is similar in parts. Regardless problems (P1) and (P2) are fundamentally different. For example, depending on the exact value of p and λ there may not exist a solution to (P2), whereas a solution to (P1) always exists. We will study this non-existence issue in the sense of non-spherical solutions in Sections 3 and 4 to follow.

Remark In problems (P1) and (P2), the spontaneous curvature c_0 is stated to be a fixed constant. From a physical perspective, this is not the case, since it is known that the spontaneous curvature c_0 has the units of one of the principal cuvatures of the membrane f. In particular, given a membrane $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with spontaneous curvature c_0, a dilated membrane ρf has spontaneous curvature $\frac{c_0}{\rho}$. We may further assume that the spontenous curvature is invariant under rigid motions in $\mathbb{R}^3$, i.e. translations and rotations. Since it is agreed in the literature that due to the homogeneity of the membrane the spontaneous curvature does not vary based on position, we could assume that

$$c_0 = \int_\Sigma F[f, \mathbf{v}]\, d\mu$$

for an operator F and a vector of parametric functions $\mathbf{v}(p) = (\hat{\mathbf{v}} \circ f)(p)$ where $\hat{\mathbf{v}} = (v_1(x), \ldots, v_M(x))$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The role of the vector $\mathbf{v}$ would be to incorporate ambient information into the determination of the spontaneous curvature. A similar procedure was enacted in Wheeler (2014) for a model of strings in space influenced by ambient forces. The known behaviour of c_0 under dilation and rigid motions would translate to the operator F being invariant under rigid motions and homogeneous of degree -3.

Unfortunately this appears to be the most that is known. It is not clear from the literature how exactly the spontaneous curvature depends upon the immersion f. This is important, since the existence of one or more solutions to problems (P1) and (P2) and the qualitative properties such solutions possess would depend critically on the structure of F. Discovering new properties and further information on the nature of F is an important open problem in the field.

Remark It is physically reasonable to require that the energy of a biomembrane not depend on scale – this amounts to the requirement that $\mathscr{H}^{c_0}(f) = \mathscr{H}^{c_0}(\rho f)$. Taking into account the scaling of the measure $d\mu$, the mean curvature H, and the volume, the units of c_0, λ and p should be ρ^{-1}, ρ^{-2} and ρ^{-3} respectively. The parameters k_c and $\overline{k}$ should be scale invariant, or, the behaviour of λ and p under scaling should incorporate information on how k_c and $\overline{k}$ scale. A precise formulation of the Helfrich model taking into account such scale invariance does not yet appear to be available, although it is implicit in the Lagrange multipliers of (P1).

Remark From an analysis perspective, questions on existence and regularity of solutions to (4) must be investigated. For the Willmore functional, where $c_0 = \lambda = p = 0$, this is a venerable topic. Bryant (1984) classified all closed solutions through a duality method. A landmark contribution in existence was made by Simon (1993). Remarkable progress on regularity issues was made by Rivière (2008), who decoupled (4) into two second order systems and studied weak solutions. Both Bernard and Rivière (2013) and Kuwert and Schätzle (2004) made important contributions to the understanding of point singularities. The Willmore conjecture, proposed by Willmore (1965), was recently resolved by Marques and Neves (2014). Work on the Willmore functional continues to be a very active area, with recent progress made on quantisation (Bernard and Riviere, 2014), the gradient flow (Kuwert and Schätzle, 2001, 2002), and boundary value problems (Alessandroni and Kuwert, 2014; Dall'Acqua, 2012;

Dall'Acqua et al., 2013; Deckelnick and Grunau, 2009). There are many other works besides those mentioned here – the literature on analysis of the Willmore functional is vast. For the full Helfrich functional, many of these issues remain open[1] and form important questions that future research should address.

In McCoy and Wheeler (2013), the functional

$$\tilde{\mathscr{H}}^{c_0}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(f) = \frac{1}{4}\int_\Sigma (H-c_0)^2 d\mu + \lambda_1 \text{Area } \Sigma + \lambda_2 \text{Vol } \Sigma$$

was studied. This is far from $\hat{\mathscr{H}}$ but is on the other hand quite close to the functional $\mathscr{H}$ featuring in problem (P2), with many properties common to both $\tilde{\mathscr{H}}$ and $\mathscr{H}$. The difference between the two is given by:

$$\mathscr{H}^{c_0}(f) - 2k_c\tilde{\mathscr{H}}^{c_0}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(f) = (p-2k_c\lambda_2)\text{Vol } \Sigma + (\lambda - 2k_c\lambda_1)\text{Area } \Sigma + 2\overline{k}\pi\chi(\Sigma)$$

so that

$$\mathscr{H}^{c_0}(f) - 2k_c\tilde{\mathscr{H}}^{c_0}_{\frac{\lambda}{2k_c},\frac{p}{2k_c}}(f) = 2\overline{k}\pi\chi(\Sigma),$$

which is constant. For the case where the bilayer is topologically spherical we have $\chi(\Sigma) = 2$ and

$$\mathscr{H}^{c_0}(f) - \left(2k_c\tilde{\mathscr{H}}^{c_0}_{\frac{\lambda}{2k_c},\frac{p}{2k_c}}(f) + 4\overline{k}\pi\right) = 0. \tag{5}$$

That is, the functionals $\mathscr{H}^{c_0}$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{H}}^{c_0}$, up to taking special choices of the parameters λ_1 and λ_2, differ by a constant. The variational properties of these functionals are therefore equivalent; only the numerical energy of shapes is altered.

Our motivation for the study of the Helfrich model is in connection with spherocytosis, a disorder of the membrane of human red blood cells that causes them to be spherical (spherocytes) as opposed to the standard biconcave disk shape (discocytes). Spherocytes break down faster than discocytes, and as they have a lower surface area than discocytes, (in fact by the isoperimetric problem, spherocytes are in this sense the worst configuration possible) patients with spherocytosis suffer from severe anemia (Chasis et al., 1988; Perrotta et al., 2008; Peterson, 1985; Svetina and Žekš, 1989; Zhong-Can and Helfrich, 1987). Additionally, the spleen sometimes mistakes otherwise healthy spherocytes for damaged non-functional cells, and destroys them. This leads to haemolytic anemia, and can be fatal (Perrotta et al., 2008). Up to now, the only known treatment is a (often partial) splenectomy (Abdullah et al., 2009; Hassoun and Palek, 1996; Perrotta et al., 2008; Rescorla et al., 2007), which comes with a lifetime of medication, and other complications. Spherocytosis is the most common form of inheritable anemia in people of northern European ancestry (Perrotta et al., 2008).

Red blood cells are essentially elastic phospholipid bilayers, and are in a sense self-organising so as to minimise certain costs. The basic idea of the Helfrich model is that this cost can be measured in an idealised setting by the Helfrich functional. Our goal is to better understand the appearance of spherocytes *in the model*. In particular, we study spherical solutions of (P2), giving first a complete classifcation of parameter

[1] A partial answer to the existence and regularity question can be found in Choksi and Veneroni (2013).

ranges that allow spherocytes (Theorem 1) and second some first steps into stability analysis of spherical solutions (Theorems 2 and 3). Since the parameters c_0, λ, and p are in principle measurable, we may be able to influence them, and in so doing discourage the formation of spherocytes.

Analysis of solutions to (2) is quite involved, and in McCoy and Wheeler (2013) only the case of zero spontaneous curvature was considered. Here our reduced focus allows us to pin down the influence of the spontaneous curvature c_0 on minimisers of $\mathscr{H}^{c_0}$. The classification theorem is as follows. It is proved in Section 3.

Theorem 1 *Suppose $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a closed, smooth, immersed surface in the same topological class as a sphere. Set $x := \frac{\lambda}{k_c c_0} + \frac{c_0}{2}$. If f is a solution to problem (P2) then $f(\Sigma) = S_r(\Sigma)$ if and only if one of the following holds:*

(i) $c_0 = \lambda = p = 0$, *in which case* $f(\Sigma) = S_r(\Sigma)$ *for any* $r \in (0,\infty)$;
(ii) $c_0 = 0$, $\lambda > 0$, *and* $p < 0$, *in which case* $r = -\frac{2\lambda}{p}$;
(iii) $c_0 > 0$, $\lambda = -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ *and* $p > 0$, *in which case* $r = \sqrt{2\frac{k_c c_0}{p}}$;
(iv) Either
  (a) $c_0 > 0$, $\lambda > -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ *and* $p \geq 0$ *or* $p = -\frac{k_c c_0 x^2}{2}$, *or*
  (b) $c_0 < 0$, $\lambda > -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ *and* $-\frac{k_c c_0 x^2}{2} < p < 0$,
  in either case r must satisfy

$$r = \frac{2}{x + \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{2p}{k_c c_0}}};$$

(v) $c_0 > 0$, $\lambda > -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ *and* $-\frac{k_c c_0 x^2}{2} < p < 0$, *in which case r may equal* r^+ *or* r^- *according to*

$$r^{\pm} = \frac{2}{x \pm \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{2p}{k_c c_0}}}.$$

If none of the conditions above are met then there is no spherical solution to (P2).

The question of *stability* of the spherocytes is also important, since, in a patient with spherocytosis, the spherocytes do not regularly become singular; they are instead stable and nominally functional, despite being regularly destroyed by the spleen. This behaviour is not typical for the Helfrich model; indeed, we expect that generically perturbed spherocytes revert after a perturbation (if they are ever formed at all), to a standard discocyte shape. In general, after a perturbation acts upon a biomembrane, there is no guarantee that the bilayer will return to a global minimum. It may focus instead on a stable local minimum, or become singular. A local minimum is a global minimum in a reduced class of candidate immersions, typically taken within a neighbourhood of a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation. It is important to keep in mind that solutions to the Euler-Lagrange equation are only guaranteed to be critical points of the functional. Their second-order behaviour may be as maxima, minima, or degenerate. With a stability analysis, we may be able to determine further restrictions on parameters that patients with spherocytosis are expected to exhibit.

Our notion of stability is as follows. Let $S_r : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be a standard sphere with radius r. Then it solves the problem (P2) with, for example, $c_0 = \lambda = p = 0$. Consider, for some smooth function $\psi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$, the perturbed surface $\eta : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$, $\eta(x) = S_r(x) + \nu(x)\psi(x)$, where ν is a smooth choice of normal vector. Let us impose that the perturbed surface η also solves the problem (P2) with the given parameters. We ask ourselves the question: under which conditions on the perturbation ψ will η be a sphere? All such perturbations are termed *mild*. The spherocyte is stable under mild perturbations.

Section 4 contains some initial stability analysis for spherocytes in the Helfrich model. We summarise these results as follows.

Theorem 2 *Let $S_r : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be the standard embedding of a sphere with radius r. Consider a perturbed surface $\eta : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$, $\eta(x) = S_r(x) + \nu(x)\psi(x)$ as above, with $\psi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ a smooth function. The perturbation ψ is mild in the following cases:*

(i) *($c_0 = \lambda = p = 0$) Any perturbation ψ such that η is weakly mean convex;*

(ii) *($c_0 = 0$) Any perturbation ψ such that η is weakly mean convex and λ, p are such that the average of the mean curvature over the perturbed surface η is equal to $-p/\lambda$;*

(iii) *($c_0 \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq -k_c \frac{c_0^2}{2}$, $p > 0$) Any perturbation ψ such that η is weakly convex;*

(iv) *($c_0 \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq -k_c \frac{c_0^2}{2}$, $p \leq -k_c a_0^2$) Any perturbation ψ such that η is weakly mean convex and on the perturbed surface the inequality $|A^o|^2(x) \leq a_0^2$ holds.*

The theorem highlights the crucial role that convexity plays in the analysis of stability. We observe that weak convexity (that each of the principal curvatures are non-negative at every point) is a much stronger condition that weak mean convexity (that the sum of the principal curvatures is non-negative at every point). Since the value of a_0 may be quite large depending upon the osmotic pressure difference p, it may be that in practice perturbations of spherocytes fall into or near to category (iv) of Theorem 2.

Due to this focus of convexity and mean convexity, we suspect that many of the solutions to (P2) are mean convex, irrespective of any stability concerns, that is, without using any knowledge about the solution being a-priori 'close' to a sphere in some sense. This is partially confirmed in the last result of our paper:

Theorem 3 *Suppose that $c_0 > 0$, $|A^o|^2 \leq a_0^2$, $\lambda \geq k_c(a_0^2 - \frac{c_0}{2})$, and $p < -c_0 k_c a_0^2$. Then any solution f to the problem (P2) is strictly mean convex.*

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 contains some brief mathematical background required for the calculations and proofs in the later sections. Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 1 as well as some discussion on an exceptional case. Section 4 is concerned with stability analysis and the proof of Theorems 2 and 3.

2 Mathematical background

Let us briefly set notation and describe the mathematical setting in which we work. We are interested in properties of a human red blood cell realised as an infintely

thin two-dimensional shell. Mathematically we represent that as the image of a map $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with the following properties:

- Σ is a smooth closed differentiable manifold of dimension two; and
- f is a smooth map with injective first derivative.

An example of a smooth closed differentiable manifold of dimension two is the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$. Since we are primarily interested in the analysis of possibly spherical red blood cells, this is the primary example to keep in mind. For the second dot point, this is enough to imply that f is an *immersion*, which implies that the tensor g_{ij} with components (∂ here denotes the standard partial derivative)

$$g_{ij} = \langle \partial_i f, \partial_j f \rangle$$

is a Riemannian metric. It is the induced or pullback metric, and sometimes written as $g = f^* \delta$ where δ is the Euclidean metric (the identity matrix). This means that the pair (Σ, g) is a Riemannian manifold, and f is then called an *isometric immersion*. If $f(\Sigma)$ does not have any self-intersections, that is, the map f is injective or one-to-one, then f is upgraded to an *isometric embedding*[2]. The red blood cell as we see it under the microscope is not (Σ, g), but the image $f(\Sigma)$.

All geometric data can be derived from the map f. At each point p there is a tangent space T_pM and a normal space N_pM. Since the codimension of f is one, the normal space is always a line. We choose a canonical global normal vector field ν pointing out from the interior of $f(\Sigma)$.

The curvature is encoded in the second fundamental form, with components A_{ij} given by

$$A_{ij} = \langle \partial_i f, \partial_j \nu \rangle \, .$$

The Weingarten equation tells us that

$$\partial_i \nu = A_{il} g^{lm} \partial_m f = A_i^m \partial_m f \, .$$

Coordinate independent curvature quantities that arise in the paper include the mean curvature:

$$H = g^{ij} A_{ij} = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \, ,$$

(in the above repeated indices are summed over, $g^{ij} = (g^{-1})_{ij}$, and κ_1, κ_2 are the eigenvalues of $A^i_j = g^{ik} A_{kj}$), the Gauss curvature

$$K = \det A^i_j = \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \, ,$$

the square of the second fundamental form:

$$|A|^2 = \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 \, ,$$

and the square of the tracefree second fundamental form:

$$|A^o|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)^2 \, .$$

[2] Since f is closed and smooth, this is equivalent to the standard definition that f is a topological homeomorphism onto its image

The mean curvature, the unit normal, and the Laplace-Beltrami operator are further related by

$$\Delta f = -Hv = \mathbf{H}.$$

The rightmost expression is called the *mean curvature vector*.

In Section 3 we use some facts about the standard sphere of radius $r > 0$ embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let us denote by S_r an embedding $S_r : \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ that yields a standard round sphere of radius $r > 0$ centred at any point $c \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The image is given by

$$S_r(\mathbb{S}^2) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x-c| = r\},$$

where $|y| = |(y_1, y_2, y_3)| = \sqrt{y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2}$ denotes the standard length of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$. An exterior unit normal vectorfield to S_r is given by ($x = f(p)$)

$$\nu(x) = \frac{x}{|x|} = \frac{x}{r} = \frac{f(p)}{r}.$$

From the Weingarten equation we find

$$H = g^{ij}A_{ij} = g^i_j A^j_i = g^{ij}A^m_i g_{mj} = g^{ij}\left\langle \partial_i \nu, \partial_j f \right\rangle = \operatorname{div} \nu,$$

and so for the sphere of radius r,

$$H = g^{ij}\left\langle \partial_i f, \partial_j f \right\rangle \frac{1}{r} = \frac{2}{r}.$$

In fact, since the sphere is $SO(3)$-invariant, each of the principal curvatures κ_1 and κ_2 are equal and so the above implies $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{r}$. In particular, we have

$$K = \frac{1}{r^2} \quad \text{and} \quad |A^o|^2 = 0.$$

The last condition is necesssary and sufficient: if f is a sphere, then $|A^o|^2 = 0$, and if $|A^o|^2 = 0$ and f is closed, then f is a sphere. This has led people to consider the range of non-zero values that $|A^o|^2$ may take to signify a kind of 'distance' from being spherical.

In Section 3 we also use some elementary facts about the calculus of variations and the existence of minimisers. In particular, there the following fact is used. In this setting it is slightly non-standard and so we shall provide a specific formulation relevant to our work here.

Lemma 4 *Suppose that the functional $\hat{\mathscr{H}}^{c_0}$ (or $\mathscr{H}^{c_0}$) is unbounded from below for a given choice of parameters c_0, S_0, V_0 (or c_0, λ, p) in the class of smooth immersions. Then there does not exist a solution to (P1) (or (P2)).*

The proof is straightforward.

Proof By hypothesis, there exists a sequence $\{f_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of smooth immersed surfaces such that the energy $E(f_i)\to\infty$ as $i\to\infty$. Here we have used E to denote either $\hat{\mathscr{H}}^{c_0}$ or $\mathscr{H}^{c_0}$. If a solution f_0 to either problem were to exist, then the minimisation condition (1) (or (3)) would be satisfied. However since f_0 is smooth, it has finite energy, and so

$$E(f_0) > E(f_j)$$

for some j sufficiently large. This is a contradiction. □

Remark The hypothesis that the functional be unbounded from below only has to hold for one particular sequence.

In Section 4 we need the following elementary result from differential geometry.

Lemma 5 *Suppose $f:\Sigma\to\mathbb{R}^3$ is a smooth immersed surface containing the origin. Then there exists at least one point where the mean curvature of f is strictly positive.*

Proof Consider the function $|f|^2$. Since Σ is closed, $|f|^2$ achieves a global maximum on Σ. At this point the Hessian of $|f|^2$ is non-positive. We compute

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{ij}|f|^2 = \nabla_i\nabla_j|f|^2 = 2g_{ij} + \langle f, \nabla_i\nabla_j f\rangle\,.$$

Tracing the above with g, at a maximum we have

$$0 \geq \Delta|f|^2 = 4 - \langle f, \nu\rangle H\,. \tag{6}$$

Since ν is an outward-pointing unit normal and the origin is contained in the interior of $f(\Sigma)$, at a global maximum of $|f|^2$ we have $\langle f,\nu\rangle = c > 0$. Therefore we conclude from (6) that

$$H \geq \frac{4}{\langle f,\nu\rangle}$$

at a global maximum of $|f|^2$. □

3 Spherical solutions to (P2)

Let $S_r:\mathbb{S}^2\to\mathbb{R}^3$ be the immersion of the standard sphere with radius r. Recalling the basic properties of spheres explained in Section 2, the Euler-Lagrange equation (4) evaluated at S_r is quadratic in $1/r$:

$$2k_c c_0 r^{-2} - \Big(\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2} + \lambda\Big)2r^{-1} - p = 0\,. \tag{7}$$

If $c_0 \neq 0$ then (7) is equivalent to

$$r^{-2} - \Big(\frac{c_0}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{k_c c_0}\Big)r^{-1} - \frac{p}{2k_c c_0} = 0\,. \tag{8}$$

There is at least one solution if

$$\frac{\lambda}{k_c c_0} + \frac{c_0}{2} + \sqrt{\Big(\frac{c_0}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{k_c c_0}\Big)^2 + \frac{2p}{k_c c_0}} > 0\,. \tag{9}$$

From a physical perspective, we expect biomembranes encountered to in fact be minimisers of the functional $\mathscr{H}$. A minimiser achieves the lowest energy in its class. Therefore, if the energy functional is not bounded from below, there does not exist a minimiser of the energy.

We encounter this problem in the following ways. If $\lambda < -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$, then the coefficient of the area term in $\mathscr{H}^{c_0}$ is negative. By stretching and flattening the biomembrane we can force this term to dominate over both the curvature term and the enclosed volume term, and so the functional $\mathscr{H}^{c_0}$ is unbounded from below. This would manifest physically as there being no globally minimising stable configuration for a biomembrane. We therefore presume that this is not the case; that is

$$\lambda \geq -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}. \tag{10}$$

If $\lambda = -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ then a similar problem occurs if $p < 0$: one may take a sequence of spheres with increasing radius to inflate the volume while, as they have differing behaviour under scaling, not increasing the curvature terms by as great an amount – the volume term scales with r^3, whereas the first curvature term is scale-invariant and the second scales with r^1. The functional $\mathscr{H}^{c_0}$ is therefore additionally in this case not bounded from below.

We therefore amend (10) to

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda > -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2} \\ \text{or } &\lambda = -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2} \text{ and } p > 0, \text{ or} \\ \text{or } &\lambda = -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2},\ p = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Note that part three of (11) could not hold, since then (8) reads

$$r^{-2} = 0\,,$$

which has no solution. Therefore the only remaining possibilities are:

Case 0: $c_0 = 0$
Case 1: $\lambda = -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ and $p > 0$
Case 2: $c_0 > 0$ and $\lambda > -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$
Case 3: $c_0 < 0$ and $\lambda > -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$

3.1 Case 0: $c_0 = 0$

In this case the problem greatly simplifies: Formula (7) reads

$$2\lambda r^{-1} + p = 0\,.$$

If $\lambda = p = 0$ then we are dealing with the degenerate case of the Willmore functional and any sphere S_r of any radius is a minimiser (see Willmore (1965)). If $\lambda = 0$ and $p \neq 0$ then there does not exist a spherical solution. If $\lambda < 0$ then there does not exist a minimiser for the reasons given earlier – the coefficient of the area term in the functional $\mathscr{H}^0$ is equal to λ and in this case the functional would not be bounded from below. If $\lambda > 0$ and $p \geq 0$ then there is again no spherical solution. The only remaining possibility is that $\lambda > 0$ and $p < 0$; in this case the sphere $S_{-2\lambda/p}$ is the only spherical solution.

We summarise this in the following lemma.

Lemma 6 *Suppose $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a closed, smooth, immersed surface in the same topological class as a sphere. If $c_0 = 0$ and f is a solution to problem (P2) then $f(\Sigma) = S_r(\Sigma)$ if and only if*

(i) $\lambda = p = 0$, in which case $f(\Sigma) = S_r(\Sigma)$ for any $r \in (0, \infty)$; or
(ii) $\lambda > 0$ and $p < 0$, in which case $r = -\frac{2\lambda}{p}$.

3.2 Case 1: $\lambda = -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ and $p > 0$

In this case the problem again greatly simplifies: Formula (7) becomes

$$2k_c c_0 r^{-2} - p = 0\,,$$

that is, $r^2 = 2\frac{k_c c_0}{p}$. A spherical solution exists in this case if and only if $c_0 > 0$. The lemma for this case is as follows.

Lemma 7 *Suppose $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a closed, smooth, immersed surface in the same topological class as a sphere. If $\lambda = -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ and f is a solution to problem (P2) then $f(\Sigma) = S_r(\Sigma)$ if and only if*

(i) $c_0 > 0$ and $p > 0$, in which case $r = \sqrt{2\frac{k_c c_0}{p}}$.

In the above lemma we additionally incorporated the earlier discussion which proves that $p \leq 0$ does not give rise to any spherical minimiser.

We split Case 2 into two subcases.

3.3 Case 2.1: $c_0 > 0$, $\lambda > -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ and $p \geq 0$

In this case we have one spherical solution. In order to see this, let us set

$$x := \frac{\lambda}{k_c c_0} + \frac{c_0}{2}\,.$$

Note that $\lambda > -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ implies $x > 0$. One candidate solution S_r has radius satisfying

$$\frac{2}{r} = x + \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{2p}{k_c c_0}} > 0\,.$$

For another candidate solution $S_{r'}$ where $r' \neq r$ to exist r' would have to satisfy

$$\frac{2}{r'} = x - \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{2p}{k_c c_0}} > 0\,.$$

This would imply $x^2 > x^2 + \frac{2p}{k_c c_0}$, which is a contradiction.

3.4 Case 2.2: $c_0 > 0$, $\lambda > -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ and $p < 0$

This case has again three subcases.

- $p < -\frac{k_c c_0}{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{k_c c_0} + \frac{c_0}{2}\right)^2$. In this case the argument of the square root in (9) is negative and so no spherical solution exists.
- $p = -\frac{k_c c_0}{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{k_c c_0} + \frac{c_0}{2}\right)^2$. In this case one critical sphere exists with radius satisfying
$$\frac{2}{r} = x = \frac{\lambda}{k_c c_0} + \frac{c_0}{2} > 0\,.$$
- $p > -\frac{k_c c_0}{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{k_c c_0} + \frac{c_0}{2}\right)^2$. In this case two critical spheres exist with radii $r^{\pm}$ satisfying
$$\frac{2}{r^{\pm}} = x \pm \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{2p}{k_c c_0}} > 0\,.$$

We summarise the results of both Case 1 and Case 2 in the following lemma.

Lemma 8 *Suppose $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a closed, smooth, immersed surface in the same topological class as a sphere. Set $x := \frac{\lambda}{k_c c_0} + \frac{c_0}{2}$. If $c_0 > 0$ and f is a solution to problem (P2) then $f(\Sigma) = S_r(\Sigma)$ if and only if*

(i) $\lambda = -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ and $p > 0$, in which case $r = \sqrt{2\frac{k_c c_0}{p}}$,

(ii) $\lambda > -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ and $p \geq 0$ or $p = -\frac{k_c c_0 x^2}{2}$, in which case

$$r = \frac{2}{x + \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{2p}{k_c c_0}}}\,,$$

(iii) $\lambda > -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$ and $-\frac{k_c c_0 x^2}{2} < p < 0$, in which case r may equal r^+ or r^- which satisfy

$$r^{\pm} = \frac{2}{x \pm \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{2p}{k_c c_0}}}\,.$$

Remark The energy of a sphere with radius r is

$$\mathscr{H}^{c_0}(S_r) = r^3\left(\frac{4p\pi}{3}\right) + r^2\left(2\pi k_c c_0^2 + 4\pi\lambda\right) + r\left(-8\pi k_c c_0\right) + 4\overline{k}\pi + 8k_c\pi\,.$$

Lemma 8 (ii) above applies for $c_0 > 0$ and $\lambda = p = 0$. The spherical solution has radius (note that $x = c_0/2$)

$$r = \frac{2}{\frac{c_0}{2} + \frac{c_0}{2}} = \frac{2}{c_0}.$$

Therefore the energy of the this solution is

$$\mathscr{H}^{c_0}(S_{2/c_0}) = 4\pi(\overline{k} - 2k_c).$$

Remarkably, this does not depend on the spontaneous curvature c_0.

3.5 Case 3: $c_0 < 0$ and $\lambda > -\frac{k_c c_0^2}{2}$

In this case we have

$$x = \frac{\lambda}{k_c c_0} + \frac{c_0}{2} < -\frac{c_0}{2} + \frac{c_0}{2} < 0,$$

and so for the radius r^+ or r^- solving

$$\frac{2}{r^\pm} = x \pm \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{2p}{k_c c_0}}$$

to be strictly positive, we must add the square root. Therefore there can be at most one solution. Further, the square root term must be strictly larger than x. With this in mind, we calculate

$$\sqrt{x^2 + \frac{2p}{k_c c_0}} > x \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{p}{c_0} > 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad p < 0,$$

since $c_0 < 0$. Therefore p must be negative. However, it can not be too large, or the argument of the square root will be negative. We thus have one spherical solution for p satisfying

$$-\frac{k_c c_0 x^2}{2} < p < 0.$$

Collecting all of these observations together yields Theorem 1. Although the theorem details many possible circumstances under which spherical minimisers exist, there is a three-dimensional family of parameters and so one should interpret this as roughly stating that spherical biomembranes may only occur in very special situations.

We expect that several of the spherocytes identified by Theorem 1 have high energy and are unstable. Therefore we do not expect to observe these spherocytes often in live experiments. Some work in identifying stability properties is presented in Section 4. In that section, we see that deformations which preserve a notion of convexity, or do not alter the magnitude of the difference of the principal curvatures in too great a manner, are mild. It remains an open question to determine if more forceful deformations of spherocytes, such as those that do not preserve convexity, may also in some cases be mild.

One exception to this generic instability appears to be the case when $c_0 > 0$ and $r = \frac{2}{c_0}$, so that $H = c_0$ and the curvature integral in $\mathscr{H}^{c_0}$ on spheres with radius r vanishes. This case was earlier identified in the remark above as having energy independent of c_0. It is in fact quite special and spheres are fundamental with those parameters: spheres are global minimisers of the energy and thus have the best stability possible.

Theorem 9 *Suppose $c_0 > 0$ and $\lambda = p = 0$. Then the unique global minimal solution to (P2) in the class of smooth embedded surfaces is a sphere of radius $\frac{2}{c_0}$.*

Proof For these choices of c_0, λ and p the functional reads

$$\mathscr{H}^{c_0}(f) = \frac{k_c}{2}\int_\Sigma (H - c_0)^2 d\mu + 2\overline{k}\pi\chi(\Sigma) = \frac{k_c}{2}\int_\Sigma (H - c_0)^2 d\mu + 4\overline{k}\pi\,. \tag{12}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation is

$$k_c(\Delta H + H|A^o|^2) + 2k_c c_0 K - \frac{k_c c_0^2}{2} H = 0\,. \tag{13}$$

The sphere with $r = \frac{2}{c_0}$ has $H = c_0$ and $K = \frac{c_0^2}{4}$. Further $|A^o| = 0$ and $\Delta H = 0$ on any sphere, so $f = S_r : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ solves (13).

This proves that S_r is a critical point for the functional in (12). Minimality is easy to see, since the functional is the sum of a non-negative integral and a constant. The sphere S_r has $H = c_0$ and so the integral in (12) takes on its lowest possible value: zero.

Let us also prove that up to translation and rotation it is unique. If $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is any smooth embedded surface, then

$$\frac{k_c}{2}\int_\Sigma (H - c_0)^2 d\mu = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad H = c_0\,.$$

A classical theorem of Aleksandrov (1962) tells us that any embedded surface with constant mean curvature must be a sphere, with radius $r = \frac{2}{H}$, which is exactly what we wanted. □

4 Local stability

In order to illustrate the general method, let's first prove a stability result for the model case where $c_0 = \lambda = p = 0$ and we are dealing with the Willmore functional and closed Willmore surfaces.

Proposition 10 *Suppose that $c_0 = \lambda = p = 0$. Then any solution f to the problem (P2) that is weakly mean convex is a sphere.*

Proof In this case we have

$$\Delta H + H|A^o|^2 = 0\,.$$

Integrating and using the divergence theorem we have

$$\int_\Sigma \Delta H + H|A^o|^2 d\mu = \int_\Sigma H|A^o|^2 d\mu = 0\,.$$

Since the surface is smooth, the mean curvature H and the norm squared of the trace-free second fundamental form $|A^o|^2$ are smooth. Due to the mean convexity hypothesis the only possibility is that $|A^o|^2(x) = 0$ wherever $H(x) > 0$. By Lemma 5 there is at least one x_0 where $H(x_0) > 0$. Therefore $|A^o|^2(x_0) = 0$. Now recall the definition of A^o:

$$A^o_{ij} = A_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} H\,.$$

The Codazzi equation tells us that the tensor $\nabla_i A_{jk}$ is totally symmetric. Therefore, using the summation convention and the definition $H = g^{ij}A_{ij}$

$$\nabla^k A^o_{kj} = \nabla^k A_{kj} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_j H = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_j H\,.$$

By smoothness, $H(x) > 0$ in a neighbourhood of x_0. Denote the maximal such neighbourhood by Ω. Suppose that $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$. Note that $H(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega$. Now the above argument shows that $|A^o|^2(x) = 0$ on Ω, and so by the Codazzi computation above $\nabla_j H = \nabla^k A^o_{kj} = 0$ on Ω for any j, and therefore $H(x)$ is **constant** on Ω. That is, $H(x) = H(x_0)$ on Ω. However, $H(x) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, and therefore H must be discontinuous on $\partial\Omega$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\partial\Omega = \emptyset$, $\Omega = \Sigma$, and H is constant on all of Σ. Since $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is an embedding, the theorem of Aleksandrov (1962) applies and we conclude that f is a sphere.

Proposition 10 yields a stability statement in the following sense. Let $S_r : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be a standard sphere with radius r. Then it solves the problem (P2) with $c_0 = \lambda = p = 0$. Consider, for some smooth function $\psi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$, the perturbed surface $\eta : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$, $\eta(x) = S_r(x) + \nu(x)\psi(x)$, where ν is a smooth choice of normal vector. Let us impose that the perturbed surface η also solves the problem (P2) with the given parameters. We ask ourselves the question: under which conditions on the perturbation ψ will η be a sphere? All such perturbations are termed *mild*. Proposition 10 informs us that, in this case, any perturbation which leaves η at least mean convex is *mild*.

As witnessed in Section 3, variations on the parameters λ, p and c_0 induce wild changes in the behaviour of the solutions to (P2). In general, we do not expect spherical solutions to be stable. For certain ranges of these parameters, we are nevertheless able to obtain a result analogous to that of Proposition 10.

Proposition 11 *Consider a smoothly embedded closed weakly mean convex surface $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$. Suppose that $c_0 = 0$ and that p, λ are such that the average of the mean curvature of f is equal to $-p/\lambda$. Then if f is a solution to the problem (P2), it must be a sphere.*

Proof In this case we have

$$\Delta H + \Big(|A^o|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{k_c}\Big)H - \frac{p}{k_c} = 0\,.$$

Rearranging, this implies

$$\Delta H + H|A^o|^2 = \frac{\lambda}{k_c}H + \frac{p}{k_c}\,.$$

Observe that the integral of the right hand side vanishes:

$$\int_\Sigma \left(\frac{\lambda}{k_c}H + \frac{p}{k_c}\right)d\mu = k_c^{-1}\left[\lambda\int_\Sigma H\,d\mu + p|\Sigma|\right] = k_c^{-1}\left[\lambda\,\Sigma\frac{-p}{\lambda} + p|\Sigma|\right] = 0\,.$$

Therefore the proof of Proposition 10 goes through analogously in this case.

It is possible to extend this integral method in various directions to obtain results specific for narrow choices of the parameters λ, p and c_0. What we wish to do now is to illustrate a different method that appears more suitable to the case where $c_0 \neq 0$. It has the drawback of requiring either weak convexity (as opposed to weak **mean** convexity above) or a condition on $|A^o|^2$. The method relies on the following standard tool. The statement below is a corollary of the more general theorem proved in Calabi (1958) (see also Hopf (1927)).

Theorem 12 (Calabi-Hopf Maximum Principle) *Suppose (Σ, g) is a Riemannian manifold. Consider $u : U \to \mathbb{R}$ a smooth function defined over the open set $U \subset \Sigma$. If*

$$(\Delta u)(x) \leq 0$$

everywhere in U, and if u attains a local minimum value at some point in U, then u is identically constant in U.

One may interpret the theorem above as a statement about (in this case, smooth) concave functions. Clearly, a concave function assumes its minima on the boundary of any domain it is defined upon, with the only exception being a function that assumes its minima at every point: a constant.

The theorem allows the following pair of corollaries.

Corollary 13 *Consider a smoothly embedded closed weakly convex surface $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$. Suppose that $c_0 \geq 0$, $p \leq 0$, and $\lambda \geq -k_c\frac{c_0^2}{2}$. Then if f is a solution to the problem (P2), it must be a sphere $S_r : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$. In particular, there are only the following possibilities depending upon (c_0, λ, p):*

$$\begin{aligned}
(c_0 = \lambda = p = 0) \qquad & r \in (0,\infty)\\
(c_0 = 0, \lambda > 0, p < 0) \qquad & r = -\frac{2\lambda}{p}\\
(c_0 > 0, \lambda > -k_c\frac{c_0^2}{2}, p = -k_c\frac{c_0^2}{2}) \qquad & r = \frac{2}{x + \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{2p}{k_c c_0}}}\\
(c_0 > 0, \lambda > -k_c\frac{c_0^2}{2}, -k_c\frac{c_0^2}{2} < p < 0) \qquad & r^{\pm} = \frac{2}{x \pm \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{2p}{k_c c_0}}}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where $x = \frac{\lambda}{k_c c_0} + \frac{c_0}{2}$.

Proof In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta H &= -\Big(|A^o|^2 - \frac{c_0^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{k_c}\Big)H - 2c_0K + \frac{p}{k_c} \\ &\leq -\Big(\frac{c_0^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{k_c}\Big)H - 2c_0K + \frac{p}{k_c}\,.\end{aligned}$$

The weak convexity hypothesis means that at every point the principal curvatures κ_1, κ_2 are non-negative. This implies that $-2c_0K := -2c_0\kappa_1\kappa_2 \leq 0$. The mean curvature is also clearly non-negative, and the conditions on c_0, λ, p imply that the entire right hand side is non-positive.

Therefore we have

$$(\Delta H)(x) \leq 0$$

at every point $x \in \Sigma$. Note that Σ is an open set inside Σ, and since it is additionally compact and H is a smooth function on Σ, it must achieve its minimum at some point in Σ. Theorem 12 applies, yielding H identically constant, and again Aleksandrov (1962) shows that f must be a standard embedding of the sphere $S_r : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$.

The results of Section 3, in particular Lemma 8 and Lemma 6, give the exact form of the solution.

Corollary 14 *Consider a smoothly embedded closed weakly mean convex surface* $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$. *Let* $a_0 > 0$ *be such that*

$$|A^o|^2(x) \leq a_0^2$$

for every $x \in \Sigma$. *Suppose that* $c_0 \geq 0$, $p \leq -k_c a_0^2$, *and* $\lambda \geq -k_c \frac{c_0^2}{2}$. *Then if* f *is a solution to the problem (P2), it must be a sphere.*

Proof Similarly to the proof above, we have

$$\Delta H \leq -\Big(\frac{c_0^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{k_c}\Big)H - 2c_0K + \frac{p}{k_c}\,. \tag{14}$$

The general strategy has not changed – our goal remains to show that under the given hypotheses, the right hand side of the above differential inequality is non-positive. Recall that, in terms of the principal curvatures κ_1, κ_2, we have:

$$|A|^2 = \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2; \qquad H^2 = (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)^2; \qquad |A^o|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)^2; \qquad K = \kappa_1\kappa_2\,,$$

so that $K = \frac{1}{4}H^2 - \frac{1}{2}|A^o|^2$. Therefore

$$-2c_0K + \frac{p}{k_c} = -\frac{1}{2}c_0H^2 + \Big(\frac{p}{k_c} + c_0|A^o|^2\Big) \leq 0\,,$$

by hypothesis.

The weak mean convexity hypothesis means that $H \geq 0$, and so we have again that the conditions on c_0, λ, p imply that the entire right hand side of (14) is non-positive. The proof now continues analogously to that of Corollary 13.

Clearly one may tweak the geometric and parametric conditions that allow the two strategies outlined in the above stability results to go through. It appears that some form of convexity is critical to the argument, and so it is interesting to determine conditions on the parameters under which convexity automatically holds. We finish this section with a demonstration of how one may deduce such a result.

Theorem 15 *Suppose that* $c_0 > 0$, $|A^o|^2 \leq a_0^2$, $\lambda \geq k_c(a_0^2 - \frac{c_0}{2})$, *and* $p < -c_0 k_c a_0^2$. *Then any solution* f *to the problem (P2) is strictly mean convex.*

Proof Rearrranging the Euler-Lagrange equation (4) we find

$$\Delta H + \Big(|A^o|^2 - \frac{c_0^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{k_c}\Big)H + 2c_0 K - \frac{p}{k_c} = 0$$

Suppose a global minimum for H occurs at x. Setting $H = H(x)$, we have

$$\Big(|A^o|^2 - \frac{c_0^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{k_c}\Big)H \leq \frac{p}{k_c} - 2c_0 K\,.$$

Since $2K = H^2 - |A|^2$ and $|A|^2 = \big\langle A^o + \frac{1}{2}gH, A^o + \frac{1}{2}gH\big\rangle = |A^o|^2 + \frac{1}{2}H^2$ we have $2K = \frac{1}{2}H^2 - |A^o|^2$, and so at x

$$\Big(|A^o|^2 - \frac{c_0^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{k_c}\Big)H \leq \frac{p}{k_c} - \frac{c_0}{2}H^2 + c_0|A^o|^2\,.$$

Setting $a = \frac{c_0}{2}$, $b = |A^o|^2 - \frac{c_0^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{k_c}$, and $c = -\frac{p}{k_c} - c_0|A^o|^2$ the above is

$$P(H) = aH^2 + bH + c \leq 0\,. \tag{15}$$

In H, P is a parabola with zero, one, or two real roots.

Zero roots. In this case either P is always positive or always negative. Since $c_0 > 0$, the term aH^2 dominates for large enough H and P will be positive there. This contradicts (15), which holds for $H = H(x)$.

One root. In this case P is always non-positive or always non-negative. As with the case above, $c_0 > 0$ implies that for large enough H, P is positive. Therefore H is the unique point where P touches the axis, that is H solves

$$P'(H) = 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad H = -\frac{b}{2a}.$$

By hypothesis $|A^o|^2 \leq a_0^2$, and $\lambda \geq k_c(a_0^2 - \frac{c_0}{2})$, so

$$b = |A^o|^2 - \frac{c_0^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{k_c} \leq a_0^2 - \frac{c_0^2}{2} - \frac{k_c(a_0^2 - \frac{c_0}{2})}{k_c} = 0\,, \tag{16}$$

and thus H is non-negative. Further, $H = 0$ only if $b = 0$, in which case $-4ac = 0$, since $b^2 - 4ac = 0$ when we have only one root. As $a = \frac{c_0}{2} > 0$, this implies that $c = 0$. However our hypothesis $p < -c_0 k_c a_0^2$ implies

$$c \geq -\frac{p}{k_c} - c_0 a_0^2 > 0\,, \tag{17}$$

a contradiction. Therefore H is strictly positive.

Two roots. In this case P changes sign. There are two roots H_1 and H_2 given by the quadratic formula. We may assume that $H_1 < H_2$. Since $P(H) \leq 0$ and $a > 0$, H will lie in the interval $[H_1, H_2]$. The lower bound H_1 satisfies

$$H_1 = \frac{-b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}.$$

The computation (16) shows that b is non-positive, and (17) shows that c is strictly positive. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & ac > 0 \\ \implies \quad & b^2 > b^2 - 4ac \\ \implies \quad & -b > \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $H_1 > 0$.

We conclude that the mean curvature in each case is strictly positive, and so the minimum of the mean curvature in Σ is strictly positive, as required.

Acknowledgements The third author would like to thank Carsten Hartmann for introducing her to the Helfrich model and biomembranes. The second author would like to thank Annette Worthy for helpful discussions related to this work. The second and third authors were supported by ARC grant DP120100097.

The first author was partially supported by URC Small Grant 228381024 during two visits to the University of Wollongong, where this work was partially completed. The first author would also like to thank the FIM at ETH Zürich and SFB DFG 71 for financial support during the completion of this work.

References

Abdullah, F., Zhang, Y., Camp, M., Rossberg, M., Bathurst, M., Colombani, P., Casella, J., Nabaweesi, R., Chang, D.: Splenectomy in hereditary spherocytosis: Review of 1,657 patients and application of the pediatric quality indicators. Pediatr. Blood Cancer **52**(7), 834–837 (2009)

Aleksandrov, A.: Uniqueness theorems for surfaces in the large. I. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **21**, 341–354 (1962)

Alessandroni, R., Kuwert, E.: Local solutions to a free boundary problem for the willmore functional. arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.6670 (2014)

Bernard, Y., Rivière, T.: Singularity removability at branch points for Willmore surfaces. Pacific J. Math. **265**(2), 257–311 (2013)

Bernard, Y., Riviere, T.: Energy quantization for Willmore surfaces and applications. Annals Math. **180**, 87–136 (2014)

Black, E.: Mathematical models of biomembranes. In: Proceedings of REU2013, pp. 1–19. University of Chicago (2013)

Bryant, R.: A duality theorem for Willmore surfaces. J. Differential Geom. **20**(1), 23–53 (1984)

Calabi, E.: An extension of E. Hopfs maximum principle with an application to Riemannian geometry. Duke Math. Journal **25**(1), 45–56 (1958)

Chasis, J., Agre, P., Mohandas, N.: Decreased membrane mechanical stability and in vivo loss of surface area reflect spectrin deficiencies in hereditary spherocytosis. J. Clin. Invest. **82**(2), 617 (1988)

Choksi, R., Veneroni, M.: Global minimizers for the doubly-constrained Helfrich energy: the axisymmetric case. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **48**(3-4), 337–366 (2013)

Dall'Acqua, A.: Uniqueness for the homogeneous Dirichlet Willmore boundary value problem. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **42**(3), 411–420 (2012)

Dall'Acqua, A., Deckelnick, K., Wheeler, G.: Unstable willmore surfaces of revolution subject to natural boundary conditions. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **48**(3-4), 293–313 (2013)

Deckelnick, K., Grunau, H.C.: A navier boundary value problem for willmore surfaces of revolution. Analysis **29**(3), 229–258 (2009)

Deuling, H., Helfrich, W.: The curvature elasticity of fluid membranes: a catalogue of vesicle shapes. Journal de Physique **37**(11), 1335–1345 (1976a)

Deuling, H., Helfrich, W.: Red blood cell shapes as explained on the basis of curvature elasticity. Biophys. J. **16**(8), 861–868 (1976b)

Duwe, H., Kaes, J., Sackmann, E.: Bending elastic moduli of lipid bilayers: modulation by solutes. J. Phys.-Paris **51**(10), 945–961 (1990)

Evans, E., Fung, Y.C.: Improved measurements of the erythrocyte geometry. Microvasc. Res. **4**(4), 335–347 (1972)

Hassoun, H., Palek, J.: Hereditary spherocytosis: a review of the clinical and molecular aspects of the disease. Blood Rev. **10**(3), 129–147 (1996)

Helfrich, W.: Elastic properties of lipid bilayers: theory and possible experiments. Zeitschrift für Naturforschung. Teil C: Biochemie, Biophysik, Biologie, Virologie **28**(11), 693 (1973)

Hopf, E.: Elementare Bemerkungen über die Lösungen partieller Differentialgleichngen zweiter Ordnung vom elliptischen Typus, vol. 19. Preussische Akademie der Wissenschaften (1927)

Kuwert, E., Schätzle, R.: The Willmore flow with small initial energy. J. Differential Geom. **57**(3), 409–441 (2001)

Kuwert, E., Schätzle, R.: Gradient flow for the Willmore functional. Comm. Anal. Geom. **10**(2), 307–339 (2002)

Kuwert, E., Schätzle, R.: Removability of point singularities of Willmore surfaces. Ann. of Math. **160**(1), 315–357 (2004)

Marques, F., Neves, A.: Min-Max theory and the Willmore conjecture. Annals Math. **179**(2), 683–782 (2014)

McCoy, J., Wheeler, G.: A classification theorem for Helfrich surfaces. Math. Ann. **357**(4), 1485–1508 (2013)

Mutz, M., Helfrich, W.: Bending rigidities of some biological model membranes as obtained from the fourier analysis of contour sections. J. Phys.-Paris **51**(10), 991–1001 (1990)

Perrotta, S., Gallagher, P., Mohandas, N.: Hereditary spherocytosis. Lancet **372**(9647), 1411–1426 (2008)

Peterson, M.: An instability of the red blood cell shape. J. Appl. Phys. **57**(5), 1739–1742 (1985)

Rescorla, F., West, K., Engum, S., Grosfeld, J.: Laparoscopic splenic procedures in children: experience in 231 children. Ann. Surg. **246**(4), 683 (2007)
Rivière, T.: Analysis aspects of Willmore surfaces. Invent. Math. **174**(1), 1–45 (2008)
Seifert, U.: Configurations of fluid membranes and vesicles. Adv. Phys. **46**(1), 13–137 (1997)
Simon, L.: Existence of surfaces minimizing the Willmore functional. Comm. Anal. Geom **1**(2), 281–326 (1993)
Svetina, S., Žekš, B.: Membrane bending energy and shape determination of phospholipid vesicles and red blood cells. Eur. Biophys. J. **17**(2), 101–111 (1989)
Tu, Z.: Elastic theory of membranes. AAPPS Bulletin **16**(3), 31 (2006)
Voinova, M.: Geometrical methods in the theory of lipid membranes and cells shapes. In: Living state physics, pp. 1–191. Chalmers University of Technology (2006)
Wheeler, G.: Global analysis of the generalised Helfrich flow of closed curves immersed in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (accepted) (2014)
Willmore, T.: Note on embedded surfaces. An. St. Univ. Iasi, Mat. 12B pp. 493–496 (1965)
Zhong-Can, O., Helfrich, W.: Instability and deformation of a spherical vesicle by pressure. Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**(21), 2486–2488 (1987)